

# Utilization Reductions due to Groundings Impact Maintenance Cash Flows

### Authors: Natasha Sidhu and Steven Harokopus

### Published: May 13, 2020

### **Brief:**

In this edition of mba Aviation's Insight Series, Natasha Sidhu and Steven Harokopus of the Forecasting & Modeling group examine the effects of grounding related to COVID-19 on Aircraft Maintenance cash flow for lessors.

### **Key Concepts:**

- ➔ In general, maintenance compensation increases at near to the same rate as maintenance condition decreases, so when this is the case, a significant decrease in utilization will not have a significant negative impact on the maintenance cash flow portion of an ABS, barring bankruptcies and renegotiation of lease terms.
- → Leases with compensation significantly above or below cost should be re-forecasted to see if engine condition is now expected to be significantly higher or lower than previously forecasted.



mba helps leaders in all facets of the aviation industry solve some of their toughest problems and capture their greatest opportunities. Our people are committed to our clients' success and focused on achieving essential advantage on their behalf.

mba provides solutions:

Valuation: mba provides a wide range of valuation services to improve your business decisions. These services include Tangible Assets, Intangible Assets and Enterprise Valuations.

Analytical: Recognized as a premier aviation consulting firm, mba's team brings over 150 years of combined industry experience to public and private clients.

Asset Management: mba's asset management team is comprised of seasoned aviation professionals encompassing years of experience within flight operations, engineering and maintenance.

**Safety & Compliance:** mba is a trusted and independent auditing firm, fully prepared to guide you through the audit and corrective action process.

At least nine-tenths of the global population live in countries with travel restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>1</sup> These restrictions have driven airlines to ground the majority of their fleet, causing a significant short-term reduction in utilization. As of April 13, 2020, mba records 11,606<sup>2</sup> aircraft grounded. Aircraft lessors typically collect supplemental rent tied to the asset's utilization in the form of maintenance reserves. Aviation investors will see a reduction in liquidity related to maintenance reserve cash flows, in addition to liquidity reduction due to payment deferrals.

The impact of COVID-19 has been compared to the 2003 SARS epidemic when revenue passenger kilometers (RPKs) for the Asia-Pacific region and the Chinese domestic market bottomed out three months after the start of the outbreak followed by a recovery period before traffic returned to normal levels after six months. However, the slowdown of growth resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic is expected to last much longer and affect all regions. IATA has reported that worldwide flights are down almost 80% by early April, the industry has been virtually grounded outside of the US and Asia domestic markets.



<sup>1</sup> More Than Nine-in-ten People Worldwide Live in Countries with Travel Restrictions Amid Covid-19 Phillip Connor - https://www.pewresearch.org

<sup>2</sup> REDBOOK, mba Analysis



The global groundings have resulted in a sharp decline in revenue and cash flow for airlines. Aircraft lessors are negotiating with their lessees on rent deferrals and lease terms to bridge this period of uncertainty. However, if airlines are unable to operate for an extended period of time, they face an increased risk of insolvency. Should that occur, aircraft lessors would need to recover, remarket, and possibly perform costly maintenance to their aircraft.

#### How does a decrease in utilization affect forecasted maintenance values and cash flow?

#### 1. Most maintenance events will be pushed to the future

A prolonged decrease in utilization will push utilization-driven maintenance events into the future. As per the breakdown in Maintenance Matters I, utilization-driven maintenance events account for the significant majority of maintenance costs and values. Based on aggregated data from recent short-term maintenance cash flow forecasts, calendar-driven maintenance accounts for ~15.0% of maintenance outflows. Only airframe Heavy Checks and, generally, landing gear overhauls, are calendar driven. Unless the aircraft is placed in a long-term storage program, their date of next events will not be pushed forward for calendar-driven events. However, these events cost significantly less than engine overhauls and engine Life Limited part stack replacements. If a lessee defers maintenance payments and is not utilizing the aircraft, then the increase in lessor exposure is only from the calendar-driven events.





There is a potential benefit for lessors in situations where airlines are reaching to reduce cash outflows by as much as possible. If maintenance is compensated at the expected event costs, but major shop visits are held off by minor repairs, maintenance compensation accounts could exceed the cost of the maintenance events at time of redelivery, and in most lease agreements, the lessor would keep this excess.

For example, take an engine with a planned overhaul interval of 20,000 Flight Hours and an expected overhaul cost of \$3 million, with compensation negotiated to be \$150 per flight hour, so that when an overhaul is performed, the reserve bucket equals the cost. Let's model a base case normal utilization for this engine, a Scenario 1, where there is decreased utilization due to COVID-19, and a Scenario 2, where there is decreased utilization and non-qualifying engine repair is used to extend the life of the engine another 1000 Flight Hours.

Month	Adjust	tment from Fu	ull Life	Reserve Balance									
WONTH	Base Case	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Base Case	Scenario 1	Scenario 2							
1	(2,940,000)	(2,940,000)	(2,940,000)	2,940,000	2,940,000	2,940,000							
2	(2,985,000)	(2,940,000)	(2,940,000)	2,985,000	2,940,000	2,940,000							
3	-	(2,940,000)	(2,940,000)	-	2,940,000	2,940,000							
4	-	(2,940,000)	(2,940,000)	-	2,940,000	2,940,000							
5	-	(2,946,340)	(2,946,340)	-	2,946,340	2,946,340							
6	(45,000)	(2,959,233)	(2,959,233)	45,000	2,959,233	2,959,233							
7	(90,000)	(2,978,677)	(2,978,677)	90,000	2,978,677	2,978,677							
8	(135,000)	-	(2,858,203)	135,000	-	3,004,461							
9	(180,000)	-	(2,883,987)	180,000	-	3,036,797							
10	(225,000)	-	(2,916,323)	225,000	-	3,075,474							
11	(270,000)	(45,000)	(2,955,000)	270,000	45,000	3,120,474							
12	(315,000)	(90,000)	-	315,000	90,000	120,474							
13	(360,000)	(135,000)	-	360,000	135,000	120,474							
14	(405,000)	(180,000)	-	405,000	180,000	120,474							



# 2. The Maintenance Value of Leases with Compensation varying significantly from cost could change significantly

In a situation where the supplemental maintenance rent matches the cost of maintenance, a utilization decrease will slow the decrease of the asset's maintenance adjusted value. Similarly, an increase in utilization will lower the asset's maintenance adjustment, but the lessor will receive an offsetting incremental cash flow. This outcome breaks down when maintenance reserves are higher or lower than the cost of the corresponding maintenance events.

Maintenance compensation is heavily negotiated and, especially for reserved aircraft, can be based on assumed maintenance costs and cost escalations at delivery, often over a decade before redelivery. This can lead to maintenance compensation rates being significantly above or below cost over interval at redelivery. If engine overhauls were anticipated to occur slightly before, after, or during redelivery could have Lease Encumbered Values become significantly higher or lower.

		<u></u>	gine Timing
_		Engine PR no longer required to meet minimum return conditions	Engine has accumulated fewer flight hours since last PR than anticipated at Redelivery
ation Terms	Above Cost	+	-
Compens	Below Cost	-	+

## **Effect on Lease Encumbered Values**



# 

EDBOOK											1	Ĩ,	טר		VIA	TION	i -							Ente	ryoirs	earch here			
K ABICEAPT	BOEING 7	37-8	800	0																					207	9 Q4 •		۲	
C ENONES		b.											MOD	EL	AVA	LABIL	TY	AIRC	RAFT	STATIS	ncs	VA	LUES	Α	DJUST	ED VALUES	GRAPH	COMPARE	
STAR FLEET												ны	r Uite	Pulls	ite	Soft Va	lue												
MARKETS	1								CFMS	6-7B3	16/3/1	MTOW	11742	00 LI	BS/B	UILD	EARS	1998	PRE	ENT									
B ARLINES	INFLATION RATE		1996	1990	2000	2008	2062	2003	2004	2005	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2019	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021			
	for book values															JE (US	\$ MILL										BULD YEAR	2710 *	1
<b>G</b> MKA	SHOW BUILD YEARS	2019	18.47	19.15	2015	21.05	22.05	23.06	24.22	25.45	26.75	2815	29.65	21.25	\$2,97	54.01	26.58	38.45	33.59	40.45	4.4	42.48	46.05	45.40			BASE UNLINE		
	through +	FULL LIFE BASE VALUE (US\$ MILLION																								a de la dela dela dela dela dela dela de			
W		2019	10.15	10.21	19.75	20.64	2159	22.67	25.70	24.05	26.10	27.65	20.05	30.17	\$1.99	5375	35.43	57.40	\$1.65	1945	60.54	42.02	41.00	45.40			2019	46.63	
EC APPROXIMENTS	SHOW BASE	2020	1721	1796	1374	19.59	20.50	2146	22.52	23.65	24.85	2634	2752	29.00	30.59	12.9	51.99	35.95	32.96	1918	40.17	41.55	42.57	44.40	40.25		2020	45.57	
MESSAGES	Born 2020	2021	16.35	17.05	17.80	18.61	19.47	20.41	21.4	22.49	23.65	24.89	26.25	27.67	29.22	50.90	12.55	34.65	3647	38.50	73.72	40.71	41.95	45.30	47.06	48.74	2025	42.95	
DATA ROOM	through	2022	15.57	16.23	16.98	17,69	18.52	19:40	20.36	21.19	22.50	2370	24.99	25.38	2789	29.51	38.12	12.98	34.96	36.99	19.05	40.27	41,90	42.66	45.68	47.68	2022	42.8	
	2000	2023	14.06	15.47	16.15	16.85	17.62	10.46	19.17	20.35	21.40	22.56	21.00	25.14	26.50	2817	29.72	3152	33.45	35.44	17.40	29.57	40.0Z	45.97		45.24	2023	40.39	
	2	2024	14.22	14.79	15.48	16.08	16.01	17.60	18.45	10.58	20.30	21.48	22.67	21.96	25.35	26.87	28.17	30.30	\$1.97	55.91	15.92	\$7.97	40.07	42,45	64.05	45.50	2025	58.65	
teip 📀	Update	2025	11.65	14.18	14.75	15.50	16.06	16.00	1760	15.48	15.45	20.47	21.60	22.85	24.16	25.62	27.06	25.75	30.52	12.10	14.15	35.54	10.40	40.65	43.15	44.33	2025	14.52	
42350N-13406		2026	315	13.64	1437	14.75	15.58	16:06	1685	17.65	18.55	1955	20.60	21.77	2104	24.44	25.81	27.40	2912	10.95	52.81	36.74	36.72	38.80	42.61	43.55	2006	35.21	
		2027	1270	1075	13.64	1618	14.76	15.40	16.11	16.88	1172	18.64	1945	20.76	21.97	23.50	24.60	26.32	2777	29.40	\$1.90	85	15.05	3734	40.49	42.51	2077	53.54	
		2028	12.52	12.75	15.18	15.67	34,21	14.01	15.46	16.85	16.96	17.85	18.75	18.52	20.96	12.22	2146	24.90	25.47	2812	28.05	242	35.45	35.42	58.0	4054	2028	3154	
		2029	8:98	12.56	12.77	1522	15.72	14.27	14.87	15.54	16.27	17.08	77.96	15.94	20.02	21.21	22.58	25.74	25.23	25.80	28.44	50.15	\$1.85	35.74	56.75	58.60	2009	50.55	
		2010	870	12.05	12.42	12.05	11.29	15.79	14.15	14.97	15.65	16.40	1922	10.14	1915	20.27	21.17	22.66	24.06	25.54	273	28.71	30.54	1215	54.95	3671	2000	28.90	
		205	1165	11.78	1212	12.50	12.92	1338	13.89	14.46	15.08	15.78	16.55	17.40	18.94	19.59	20.45	25.65	22.95	2435	25.85	22.55	28.88	30.57	33.22	35.87	2010	27.48	
		2052		11.90	11.87	12.21	1259	15.01	1348	14.00	1458	1522	15.98	1672	1760	1858	19.55	20.67	2191	23-23	2563	25.05	77.49	29.08	11.55	55.0	2012	26.5	
		2055			11.96	1.96	17.52	12.79	11.12	13.61	14.74	14.73	15.58	15.0	16.95	17.84	18.74	13.79	20.95	2238	23.49	24.85	26.18	27.67	29.97	245	2035	25.89	

# Make data-driven investment decisions with REDBOOK.

# **MX4 – Maintenance Forecasting**

- ✤ Model an aircraft's full maintenance program
- ✤ Forecast maintenance adjusted values
- Visualize lease payment cash flows

## **Asset Valuations**

- ✤ Reliable values from ISTAT Certified appraisers
- ➔ Full coverage of aircraft, helicopters & engines
- Streamlined data for ABS & EETC transactions

### **STAR Fleet**

- → Filter records for 45,000+ aircraft
- ✤ Build batches of aircraft for detailed analysis
- Unlimited downloads to Excel